

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
for the
District of Nebraska

United States of America

v.

Manuel Menchaca

)

Case No: 8:12CR83

USM No: 24768-047

Karen M. Shahabi

Defendant's Attorney

Date of Original Judgment: 10/18/2012

10/18/2012

Date of Previous Amended Judgment: _____

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)

**ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)**

Upon motion of the defendant the Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

DENIED. GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (*as reflected in the last judgment issued*) of 151 months for Count I ~~months~~ is reduced to 140 months for Count I .

(Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted)

Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated 10/18/2012 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: 12/17/2015

Doris Sitt Case

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)

**This page contains information that should not be filed in court unless under seal.
(Not for Public Disclosure)**

Manuel Menchaca

DEFENDANT:

CASE NUMBER: 8:12CR83

DISTRICT: District of Nebraska

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (*Prior to Any Departures*)

Previous Total Offense Level: 29

Amended Total Offense Level: 28

Criminal History Category: VI

Criminal History Category: VI

Previous Guideline Range: 151 to 188 months Amended Guideline Range: 140 to 175 months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO THE AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.

The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a substantial assistance departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.

The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline range.

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

The Defendant's pro se Motion to Reduce Sentence - USSC Amendment (Filing No. 114) is granted, the Defendant's Unopposed Motion to Reduce Sentence - USSC Amendment (Filing No. 130) is granted; the parties' Amended Stipulation regarding the Unopposed Motion to Reduce Sentence - USSC Amendment (Filing No. 132) is approved; and the parties' Stipulation regarding the Unopposed Motion to Reduce Sentence - USSC Amendment (Filing No. 131) is denied as moot.